The Checker Framework Manual

MIT Program Analysis Group

http://groups.csail.mit.edu/pag/jsr308/

Version 0.8.5 (17 Jan 2009)

For the impatient: Section 1.2 describes how to install and use pluggable type-checkers.

Contents

Intr	oduction	4
1.1	How it works: Pluggable types	4
1.2	Installation	4
1.3	Example use: detecting a null pointer bug	5
Usin		5
2.1		5
	2.1.1 Writing annotations in comments for backward compatibility	6
	2.1.2 Distributing your annotated project	6
2.2	Running a checker	7
	2.2.1 Checker auto-discovery	7
	2.2.2 Ant task	7
	2.2.3 Eclipse	8
2.3	Checking partially-annotated programs: handling unannotated code	8
2.4	Suppressing warnings	8
2.5	Qualifier polymorphism	9
	2.5.1 Examples of using polymorphic qualifiers	9
2.6	The effective qualifier on a type	10
	2.6.1 Default qualifier for unannotated types	10
	2.6.2 Automatic type refinement (flow-sensitive type qualifier inference)	11
2.7	What the checker guarantees	12
Null	lness checker	12
3.1	Annotating your code with @NonNull and @Nullable	13
3.2	Default annotation	13
3.3		14
3.4	Inference of @NonNull and @Nullable annotations	14
3.5	Examples	14
	3.5.1 Tiny examples	14
	3.5.2 Annotated library	14
3.6	Suppressing warnings with assertions	15
3.7	Other tools for nullness checking	15
	1.1 1.2 1.3 Usin 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 Null 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	1.2 Installation 1.3 Example use: detecting a null pointer bug 1.4 Writing annotations 2.1 Writing annotations in comments for backward compatibility 2.1.1 Writing annotations in comments for backward compatibility 2.1.2 Distributing your annotated project 2.2. Running a checker 2.2.1 Checker auto-discovery. 2.2.2 Ant task 2.3 Eclipse 2.3 Checking partially-annotated programs: handling unannotated code 2.4 Suppressing warnings 2.5 Qualifier polymorphism 2.5.1 Examples of using polymorphic qualifiers 2.6.2 Automatic type refinement (flow-sensitive type qualifier inference) 2.7 What the checker guarantees

4.2 What the Interming checker checks 16 4.3 Examples 16 5 IGJ checker 16 5.1 IGJ and Mutability 17 5.2 Supported Annotation IG Dialect 17 5.3.1 Semantic Changes 17 5.3.1 Semantic Changes 18 5.3.2 Syntax Changes 18 5.3.3 Templating Over Immutability: #I 18 5.4 Examples 19 6 Javari checker 19 6.1 Inference of Javari annotations 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker 20 7.2 Basic checker 20 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8.3 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9	4	Inter	rning checker 15
4.3 Examples 16 5 IGJ checker 16 5.1 IGJ and Mutability 17 5.2 Supported Annotations 17 5.3 Annotation IGJ Dialect 17 5.3.1 Semantic Changes 17 5.3.2 Syntax Changes 18 5.3.3 Templating Over Immutability: #1 18 5.4 Examples 19 6 Javari checker 19 6.1 Annotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker 20 7.2 Basic checker 20 7.2 Basic checker 20 7.3 Stub file format. 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a st		4.1	Annotating your code with @Interned
ICJ checker165.1 IGJ and Mutability175.2 Supported Annotations175.3 Annotation IGJ Dialect175.3.1 Semantic Changes175.3.2 Syntax Changes185.3.3 Templating Over Immutability: $\mathfrak{g}1$ 185.4 Examples196 Javari checker196.1 Annotation Javari dialect196.2 Inference of Javari dialect207.1 Using the Basic checker207.2 Basic checker207.1 Using the Basic checker207.2 Basic checker example208 Annotating libraries218.1.1 Creating a stub file228.1.3 Stub file format228.1.4 Known problems238.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs)239 How to create a new checker249.1 The parts of a checker.249.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy259.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy259.2.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations269.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations269.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations269.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations269.4 Visitor: Type rules279.5 The checker relass: Compiler interface279.6 Testing framework289.7 Debugging options289.8 javac implementation survival guide2910 Toroubleshooting and getting help3010.1.1 Known problems30 </th <th></th> <th>4.2</th> <th>What the Interning checker checks 16</th>		4.2	What the Interning checker checks 16
5.1 IGI and Mutability 17 5.2 Supported Annotation IGI Dialect 17 5.3.1 Semantic Charges 17 5.3.2 Syntax Changes 17 5.3.3 Templating Over Immutability: \mathbb{P}^{\intercal} 18 5.4 Examples 19 6 Javari checker 19 6.1 Annotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker 20 7.2 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using stub classes 21 8.1 Using stub file 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Kub file format 22 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.3 Peccu		4.3	Examples
5.1 IGI and Mutability 17 5.2 Supported Annotation IGI Dialect 17 5.3.1 Semantic Charges 17 5.3.2 Syntax Changes 17 5.3.3 Templating Over Immutability: Et 18 5.4 Examples 19 6 Javari checker 19 6.1 Amotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 6.3 Examples 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker 20 7.2 Basic checker example 20 8.1 Using stub file 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Stub file format 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.3 Procedurally def	5	ICL	ahaakan 14
5.2 Supported Annotations 17 5.3 Annotation IGJ Dialect 17 5.3.1 Semantic Changes 17 5.3.2 Syntax Changes 18 5.3.3 Templating Over Immutability: 01 18 5.4 Examples 19 6.1 Annotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 6.3 Examples 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker 20 7.2 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1 Using stub file 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using stub file format 22 8.1.2 Using stub file format 22 8.1.2 Using stub file 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotations 26 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.1 Declaratively de	5		
5.3 Amotation IGI Dialect 17 5.3.1 Semantic Changes 17 5.3.2 Syntax Changes 18 5.3.3 Templating Over Immutability: @I 18 5.4 Examples 19 6 Javari checker 19 6.1 Annotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 6.3 Examples 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker 20 7.2 Basic checker 20 7.3 Basic checker 20 7.4 Using a stub file 22 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using stub file format 22 8.1.2 Using stub file format 22 8.1.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining			5
5.3.1 Semantic Changes 17 5.3.2 Syntax Changes 18 5.3.3 Templating Over Immutability: @I 18 5.4 Examples 19 6 Javari checker 19 6.1 Annotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker 20 7.2 Basic checker example 20 7.3 Basic checker example 20 8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using stub file format 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 24 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Dyeelaratively defining the qualifier and type hi			
5.3.2 Syntax Changes 18 5.3.3 Templating Over Immutability: £1 18 5.4 Examples 19 6 Javari checker 19 6.1 Annotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 6.3 Examples 20 7.4 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker . 20 7.2 Basic checker example 20 8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker . 24 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3.2		5.5	
5.3.3Templating Över Immutability: $@1$ 185.4Examples196Javari checker196.1Annotation Javari dialect196.2Inference of Javari annotations206.3Examples207Basic checker207.1Using the Basic checker .207.2Basic checker example208Annotating libraries218.1Using stub classes228.1.1Creating a stub file228.1.2Using stub classes228.1.3Stub file format228.1.4Known problems238.2Using a stub clies (distributed annotated JDKs)239How to create a new checker249.2.1Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy259.2.2Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy259.2.3Defining polymorphic qualifier269.3.1Declaratively specifying implicit annotations269.3.2Procedurally specifying implicit annotations269.3.3Procedurally specifying implicit annotations269.4Visitor: Type rules279.5The checker class: Compiler interface279.6Testing framework289.7Debugging options289.8javac implementation survival guide289.7Debugging options3010.1Known problems30<			
5.4 Examples 19 6 Javari checker 19 6.1 Annotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker 20 7.2 Basic checker example 20 8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skelten files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying im			
6 Javari checker 19 6.1 Annotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 6.3 Examples 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker 20 7.2 Basic checker 20 7.3 Basic checker 20 8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
6.1 Annotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 6.3 Examples 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker . 20 7.2 Basic checker example 20 8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.3.1 Dycelaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26		5.4	Examples
6.1 Annotation Javari dialect 19 6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 6.3 Examples 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker . 20 7.2 Basic checker example 20 8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.3.1 Dycelaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26	6	Java	ri checker 19
6.2 Inference of Javari annotations 20 6.3 Examples 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker . 20 7.2 Basic checker example 20 8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Dyperatively defining implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.3 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 T	Ŭ		
6.3 Examples 20 7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker . 20 7.2 Basic checker example 20 8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using at stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations 26 9.4.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Twisting framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 9.1 Troubleshooting and getting help 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions 30			
7 Basic checker 20 7.1 Using the Basic checker . 20 7.2 Basic checker example 20 8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit			
7.1 Using the Basic checker		0.5	
7.1 Using the Basic checker	7	Basi	c checker 20
7.2 Basic checker example 20 8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.3 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework	'		
8 Annotating libraries 21 8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting help 30 10.1.1 Known problems			•
8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Declaratively pecifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.3 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting he		1.2	
8.1 Using stub classes 22 8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Declaratively becifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getti	8	Ann	otating libraries 21
8.1.1 Creating a stub file 22 8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifiers 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and get			
8.1.2 Using a stub file 22 8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifiers 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 29 10 T			
8.1.3 Stub file format 22 8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting help <			
8.1.4 Known problems 23 8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 The check class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival gui			
8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs) 23 9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker			
9 How to create a new checker 24 9.1 The parts of a checker . 24 9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy . 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy . 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy . 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers . 26 9.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations . 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations . 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations . 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations . 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations . 26 9.4 Visitor: Type rules . 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface . 27 9.6 Testing framework . 28 9.7 Debugging options . 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide . 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting help . 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions . 30 10.2 How to report problems . 31 10.3 Installing the source release . 31		82	1
9.1 The parts of a checker 24 9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.1.1 Known problems 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 <		0.2	
9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy 24 9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy 25 9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 30	9	How	to create a new checker 24
9.2Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy249.2.1Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy259.2.2Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy259.2.3Defining polymorphic qualifiers269.3Type Factory: Implicit annotations269.3.1Declaratively specifying implicit annotations269.3.2Procedurally specifying implicit annotations269.3.4Visitor: Type rules269.5The checker class: Compiler interface279.5The checker class: Compiler interface279.6Testing framework289.7Debugging options289.8javac implementation survival guide3010.1Common problems and solutions3010.2How to report problems3110.3Installing the source release31		9.1	The parts of a checker
9.2.1Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy259.2.2Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy259.2.3Defining polymorphic qualifiers269.3Type Factory: Implicit annotations269.3.1Declaratively specifying implicit annotations269.3.2Procedurally specifying implicit annotations269.3.4Declaratively specifying implicit annotations269.3.5Procedurally specifying implicit annotations269.4Visitor: Type rules279.5The checker class: Compiler interface279.6Testing framework289.7Debugging options289.8javac implementation survival guide2910Troubleshooting and getting help3010.1Common problems and solutions3010.2How to report problems3110.3Installing the source release31		9.2	
9.2.2Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy259.2.3Defining polymorphic qualifiers269.3Type Factory: Implicit annotations269.3.1Declaratively specifying implicit annotations269.3.2Procedurally specifying implicit annotations269.4Visitor: Type rules279.5The checker class: Compiler interface279.6Testing framework289.7Debugging options289.8javac implementation survival guide2910Troubleshooting and getting help3010.1Common problems and solutions3010.2How to report problems3110.3Installing the source release31			
9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers 26 9.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.4 Visitor: Type rules 26 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting help 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31			
9.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations 26 9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26 9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations 26 9.4 Visitor: Type rules 26 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting help 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31			
9.3.1Declaratively specifying implicit annotations269.3.2Procedurally specifying implicit annotations269.4Visitor: Type rules279.5The checker class: Compiler interface279.6Testing framework289.7Debugging options289.8javac implementation survival guide2910Troubleshooting and getting help3010.1Common problems and solutions3010.2How to report problems3110.3Installing the source release31		93	
9.3.2Procedurally specifying implicit annotations269.4Visitor: Type rules279.5The checker class: Compiler interface279.6Testing framework289.7Debugging options289.8javac implementation survival guide2910Troubleshooting and getting help3010.1Common problems and solutions3010.2How to report problems3110.3Installing the source release31		7.5	9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations 26
9.4 Visitor: Type rules 27 9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting help 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31			
9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface 27 9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting help 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.1.1 Known problems 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31		0 /	
9.6 Testing framework 28 9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting help 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.1.1 Known problems 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31			
9.7 Debugging options 28 9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting help 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.1.1 Known problems 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31			
9.8 javac implementation survival guide 29 10 Troubleshooting and getting help 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.1.1 Known problems 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31			e
10 Troubleshooting and getting help 30 10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.1.1 Known problems 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31			
10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.1.1 Known problems 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31		9.8	javac implementation survival guide
10.1 Common problems and solutions 30 10.1.1 Known problems 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31	10	Trou	bleshooting and getting help 30
10.1.1 Known problems 30 10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31	-		
10.2 How to report problems 31 10.3 Installing the source release 31			•
10.3 Installing the source release		10.2	
10.3 1 The short instructions (for Linux only) 31			
		10.5	

	10.3.2 The longer instructions	31
	10.3.3 Building from source	32
10.4	Learning more	32
10.5	Comparison to other tools	32
10.6	Credits and changelog	33

1 Introduction

The Checker Framework enhances Java's type system to make it more powerful and useful. This lets software developers detect and prevent errors in their Java programs.

The Checker Framework comes with 4 checkers for specific types of errors:

- 1. Nullness checker for null pointer errors (see Section 3)
- 2. Interning checker for errors in equality testing and interning (see Section 4)
- 3. IGJ checker for mutation errors (incorrect side effects), based on the IGJ type system (see Section 5)
- 4. Javari checker for mutation errors (incorrect side effects), based on the Javari type system (see Section 6)

These checkers are easy to use and are invoked as arguments to javac.

The Checker Framework also enables you to write new checkers of your own; see Sections 7 and 9.

1.1 How it works: Pluggable types

The Checker Framework supports adding pluggable type systems to the Java language in a backward-compatible way. Java's built-in typechecker finds and prevents many errors — but it doesn't find and prevent *enough* errors. The Checker Framework lets you run an additional typechecker as a plug-in to the javac compiler. Your code stays completely backward-compatible: your code compiles with any Java compiler, it runs on any JVM, and your coworkers don't have to use the enhanced type system if they don't want to. You can check only part of your program, and type inference tools exist to help you annotate your code.

A type system designer uses the Checker Framework to define type qualifiers and their semantics, and a compiler plug-in (a "checker") enforces the semantics. Programmers can write the type qualifiers in their programs and use the plug-in to detect or prevent errors. The Checker Framework is useful both to programmers who wish to write error-free code, and to type system designers who wish to evaluate and deploy their type systems.

This document uses the terms "checker", "checker plugin", "type-checking compiler plugin", and "annotation processor" as synonyms.

1.2 Installation

This section describes how to install the binary release of the Checker Framework. The binary release contains everything that you need, both to run checkers and to write your own checkers. As an alternative, the source release (Section 10.3) is useful if you wish to examine or modify the implementation of checkers or of the framework itself.

Requirement: You must have **JDK 6** or later installed. You can get JDK 6 from Sun or elsewhere. If you are using Apple Mac OS X, you can either use Apple's implementation or SoyLatte.

1. Download the latest Checker Framework distribution (http://groups.csail.mit.edu/pag/jsr308/current/jsr308-checker and unzip it. Example commands:

```
cd $JSR308
wget http://groups.csail.mit.edu/pag/jsr308/current/jsr308-checkers.zip
unzip jsr308-checkers.zip
```

The binaries and scripts will be installed in \$JSR308/checkers/binary. When using Windows, the files may be in \$JSR308/jsr308-checkers/checkers/binary. Add the correct folder to your PATH environment variable.

2. Verify that the installation works.

```
$ $JSR308/checkers/binary/javac -version
javac 1.7.0-jsr308-0.8.4
```

That's all there is to it! Now you are ready to start using the checkers.

The next section walks you through a simple example. More detailed instructions for using a checker appear in Section 2.

1.3 Example use: detecting a null pointer bug

To run a checker on a source file, just run javac as usual, passing the -processor flag. For instance, use the following command (where javac is the JSR 308 compiler):

```
javac -processor ProcessorName source-files ...
```

1. Let's consider this very simple Java class. One local variable is annotated as NonNull, indicating that ref must be a reference to a non-null object. Save the file as GetStarted.java.

```
import checkers.nullness.quals.*;
public class GetStarted {
    void sample() {
        @NonNull Object ref = new Object();
    }
}
```

2. Run the nullness checker on the class.

```
javac -processor checkers.nullness.NullnessChecker GetStarted.java
```

- The compilation should complete without any errors.
- 3. Let's introduce an error now. Modify ref's assignment to:

@NonNull Object ref = null;

4. Run the nullness checker again, just as before. This run should emit the following error:

1 error

The type qualifiers (e.g. @NonNull) are permitted anywhere that would write a type, including generics and casts.

```
@Interned String intern() { ... } // return value
int compareTo(@NonNull String other) { ... } // parameter
@NonNull List<@Interned String> messages; // non-null list of interned Strings
```

2 Using a checker

Finding bugs with a checker plugin is a two-step process:

- 1. The programmer writes annotations, such as @NonNull and @Interned, that specify additional information about Java types. (Or, the programmer uses an inference tool to automatically insert annotations in his code: see Sections 3.4 and 6.2.) It is possible to annotate only part of your code: see Section 2.3.
- 2. The checker reports whether the program contains any erroneous code that is, code that is inconsistent with the annotations.

2.1 Writing annotations

The syntax of type qualifier annotations is specified by JSR 308 [Ern08]. Ordinary Java permits annotations on declarations. JSR 308 permits annotations anywhere that you would write a type, including generics and casts. You can also write annotations to indicate type qualifiers for array levels and receivers. Here are a few examples:

```
@Interned String intern() { ... } // return value
int compareTo(@NonNull String other) { ... } // parameter
String toString() @ReadOnly { ... } // receiver ("this" parameter)
@NonNull List<@Interned String> messages; // generics: non-null list of interned Strings
@Interned String @NonNull [] messages; // arrays: non-null array of interned Strings
myDate = (@ReadOnly Date) readonlyObject; // cast
```

2.1.1 Writing annotations in comments for backward compatibility

Sometimes, your code needs to be compilable by people who are not using the JSR 308 compiler.

Annotations in comments A Java 4 compiler does not permit use of annotations, and a Java 5 compiler only permits annotations on declarations (but not on generic arguments, casts, the receiver, etc.).

For backward compatibility, you may write any annotation inside a /*...*/ Java comment, as in List</*@NonNull*/ String>. The JSR 308 compiler treats the code exactly as if you had not written the /* and */. In other words, the JSR 308 compiler will recognize the annotation, but your code will still compile with pre-JSR-308 compilers.

In a single program, you may write some annotations in comments, and others without comments.

By default, the compiler ignores any comment that contains spaces at the beginning or end, or between the @ and the annotation name. This feature enables backward compatibility with code that contains comments that start with @ but are not annotations. (The ESC/Java [FLL+02], JML [LBR06], and Splint [Eva96] tools all use "/*@" or "/* @" as a comment marker.) Compiler flag -Xspacesincomments causes the compiler to parse annotation comments even when they contain spaces. You may need to use -Xspacesincomments if you use Eclipse's "Source > Correct Indentation" command, since it inserts space in comments. But the annotation comments are less readable with spaces, so you may wish to disable inserting spaces: in the Formatter preferences, in the Comments tab, unselect the "enable block comment formatting" checkbox.

Import statements When writing source code with annotations, it is more convenient to write a short form such as @NonNull instead of @checkers.nullness.quals.NonNull. There are two ways to do this.

• Write an import statement like: import checkers.nullness.quals.*;

A potential disadvantage of this is that everyone who compiles the code (even using a non-JSR-308 compiler) must have the annotation definitions (e.g., the checkers.jar or checkers-quals.jar file) on their classpath. The reason is that a Java compiler issues an error if an imported package is not on the classpath. See Section 2.1.2.

• When you compile the code, set the shell environment variable jsr308_imports. This permits your code to compile whether or not the JSR 308 compiler is being used.

In bash, you could write export jsr308_imports='checkers.nullness.quals.*', or prefix the javac command by jsr308_imports='checkers.nullness.quals.*'. Alternately, you can set the system variable via the javac command line argument -J-Djsr308_imports="checkers.nullness.quals.*".

You can specify multiple packages separated by the classpath separator (same as the file path separator: ; for Windows, and : for Unix and Mac.). For example, to implicitly import the Nullness and Interning qualifiers, set jsr308_imports to checkers.nullness.quals.*:checkers.interning.quals.*.

2.1.2 Distributing your annotated project

If your code contains any annotations outside of comments, or any import statements for the annotations, then your code has a dependency on the annotation declarations. You also will need to provide the annotation declarations as well, if you decide to distribute your project.

For your convenience, the checkers distribution comes with a jar file, checkers-quals.jar, that only contains the distributed qualifiers. You may include the jar file in your distribution.

Your clients need to have the annotations jar in the classpath when compiling your project. When running it though, they most likely don't require the annotations declarations, unless the annotation classes are loaded via reflection.

2.2 Running a checker

To run a checker plugin, run the JSR 308 compiler javac as usual, but pass the -processor plugin_class command-line option. Two concrete examples (using the Nullness checker) are:

javac -processor checkers.nullness.NullnessChecker MyFile.java javac -processor checkers.nullness.NullnessChecker -sourcepath checkers/jdk/nullness/src MyFile.java

For a discussion of the -sourcepath argument, see Section 8.2.

The checker is run only on the Java files specified on the command line (or created by another annotation processor). The checker does not analyze other classes (e.g., pre-compiled, or source code is available on the classpath), but it does check the uses of those classes in the source code being compiled.

You can always compile the code without the -processor command-line option, but in that case no checking of the type annotations is performed.

2.2.1 Checker auto-discovery

You can also direct the JSR 308 compiler javac to always run a checker plugin, without explicitly invoking the checker at every compilation. You can specify the checkers to run via a configuration file that you place in the CLASSPATH.

You need to create a configuration file named META-INF/services/javax.annotation.processing.Processor, which contains the names of the checker plugins to be used, listed one per line. For instance, to run the Nullness and the Interning checkers automatically, the configuration file should contain:

```
checkers.nullness.NullnessChecker
checkers.interning.InterningChecker
```

You can disable this auto-discovery mechanism by passing -proc:none option to javac.

Future releases of the Checker Framework would make the distributed supported checkers auto-discoverable by default.

2.2.2 Ant task

If you use the Ant build tool to compile your software, then you can add an Ant task that runs a checker. We assume that your Ant file already contains a compilation target that uses the javac task. Duplicate that target, then modify it slightly as indicated in this example:

```
<property environment="env"/>
<target name="check-interning" depends="clean">
    <javac ...
        fork="yes"
        executable="${env.JSR308}/jdk1.7.0/bin/javac">
        <compilerarg value="-version"/>
        <compilerarg line="-target 5"/>
        <compilerarg line="-target 5"/>
        <compilerarg line="-processor checkers.interning.InterningChecker"/>
        ...
        /javac>
    </target>
```

The property target makes environment variables (such as your home directory) available to Ant.

In the example, the target is named check-interning, but you can name it whatever you like.

The target assumes the existence of a clean target that removes all .class files. That is necessary because Ant's javac target doesn't re-compile . java files for which a .class file already exists.

The executable and fork fields of the javac task ensure that an external javac program is called. Otherwise, Ant will run javac via a Java method call, and there is no guarantee that it will get the JSR 308 version.

The -version compiler argument is just for debugging; you may omit it.

The -target 5 compiler argument is optional, if you use Java 5 in ordinary compilation when not performing pluggable type-checking.

The -processor ... compiler argument indicates which checker to run. You can supply additional arguments to the checker as well.

2.2.3 Eclipse

There are two ways to run a checker from within the Eclipse IDE: via Ant or using an Eclipse plug-in.

Using an Ant task Add an Ant target as described in Section 2.2.2. You can run the Ant target by executing the following steps (instructions copied from http://help.eclipse.org/help31/index.jsp?topic=/org.eclipse.platform.doc.user/gettingStarted/qs-84_run_ant.htm):

- 1. Select build.xml in one of the navigation views and choose **Run As** > **Ant Build...** from its context menu.
- 2. A launch configuration dialog is opened on a launch configuration for this Ant buildfile.
- 3. In the Targets tab, select the new ant task (e.g., check-interning).
- 4. Click Run.
- 5. The Ant buildfile is run, and the output is sent to the Console view.

Eclipse plug-in A prototype Eclipse plug-in for running a checker is available at http://groups.csail.mit. edu/pag/jsr308/eclipse/. The website contains instructions for installing and using the plug-in. The plug-in is experimental now, but some people have used it successfully (and we have fixed all bugs that have been reported so far).

2.3 Checking partially-annotated programs: handling unannotated code

Sometimes, you wish to type-check only part of your program. You might focus on the most mission-critical or errorprone part of your code. When you start to use a checker, you may not wish to annotate your entire program right away. You may not have source code (or enough knowledge to annotate) the libraries that your program uses.

If annotated code uses unannotated code, then the checker may issue warnings. For example, the Nullness checker (Section 3) will warn whenever an unannotated method result is used in a non-null context:

@NonNull myvar = unannotated_method(); // WARNING: unannotated_method may return a null value

If the call can return null, you should fix the bug in your program by removing the @NonNull annotation in your own program.

If the library call never returns null, there are several ways to eliminate the compiler warnings.

- 1. Annotate unannotated_method in full. This approach provides the the strongest guarantees, but may require you to annotate additional methods that unannotated_method calls.
- 2. Annotate only the signature of unannotated_method, and suppress warnings in its body (see Section 2.4).
- 3. Suppress all warnings related to uses of unannotated_method (see Section 2.4). Since this can suppress more warnings than you may expect, it is usually better to annotate at least the method's signature. If you choose the boundary between the annotated and unannotated code wisely, then you only have to annotate the signatures of a few classes/methods (e.g., the public interface to a library or package).

Section 8 discusses adding annotations to signatures when you do not have source code available. Section 2.4 discusses suppressing warnings.

If you annotate additional libraries, please share them with us so that we can distribute the annotations with the Checker Framework; see Section 10.2.

2.4 Suppressing warnings

You may wish to suppress checker warnings because of unannotated libraries or un-annotated portions of your own code, because of application invariants that are beyond the capabilities of the type system, because of checker limitations, because you are interested in only some of the guarantees provided by a checker, or for other reasons. You can suppress warnings via

• the @SuppressWarnings annotation,

- the checkers.skipClasses Java property,
- the javac -Alint command-line option, or
- not using the -processor switch to javac.

You can suppress specific errors and warnings by use of the @SuppressWarnings ("annotationname") annotation, for example @SuppressWarnings ("interning"). This may be placed on program elements such as a class, method, or local variable declaration. It is good practice to suppress warnings in the smallest possible scope. For example, if a particular expression causes a false positive warning, you should extract that expression into a local variable and place a @SuppressWarnings annotation on the variable declaration. As another example, if you have annotated the signatures but not the bodies of the methods in a class or package, put a @SuppressWarnings annotation on the class declaration or on the package's package-info.java file.

You can suppress all errors and warnings at all uses of a given class. Set the checkers.skipClasses Java property to a regular expression that matches classes for which warnings and errors should be suppressed. For example, if you use "-Dcheckers.skipClasses=`java\." on the command line when invoking javac, then the checkers will suppress all warnings within those classes, all warnings relating to invalid arguments, and all warnings relating to incorrect use of the return value. (Note that if your javac is a script rather than a binary, it may not support JVM flags such as -D; in that case, you may need to edit javac script itself to pass the -D flag. This is a flaw in the OpenJDK build process, which we will try to correct in a future release.)

You can suppress an entire class of warnings via javac's -Alint command-line option. The -Alint option uses the same syntax as javac's -Xlint option. Following -Alint=, write a list of option names. If the option name is preceded by a hyphen (-), that disables the option; otherwise it enables it. For example: -Alint=-dotequals causes the Interning checker (Section 4) not to output advice about when a.equals (b) could be replaced by a==b.

You can also compile parts of your code without use of the -processor switch to javac. No checking is done during such compilations.

Finally, some checkers have special rules. For example, the Nullness checker (Section 3) uses assert statements that contain null checks to suppress warnings (Section 3.6).

2.5 Qualifier polymorphism

The Checker Framework supports type qualifier polymorphism for methods, which permits a single method to have multiple different qualified type signatures.

A polymorphic qualifier's definition is marked with @PolymorphicQualifier. For example, @PolyNull is a polymorphic type qualifier for the Nullness type system:

```
@PolymorphicQualifier
public @interface PolyNull { }
```

A method written using a polymorphic qualifier conceptually has multiple versions, somewhat like a template in C++. In each version, the polymorphic qualifier has been replaced by another qualifier from the hierarchy. See the examples in Section 2.5.1.

The method body must type-check with all signatures. A method call is type-correct if it type-checks under any signature.

Polymorphic qualifiers can be used within a method body. They may not be used on classes or fields.

2.5.1 Examples of using polymorphic qualifiers

As an example of the use of @PolyNull, method Class.cast returns null if and only if its argument is null:

```
@PolyNull T cast(@PolyNull Object obj) { ... }
```

This is like writing:

```
@NonNull T cast( @NonNull Object obj) { ... }
@Nullable T cast(@Nullable Object obj) { ... }
```

except that the latter is not legal Java, since it defines two methods with the same Java signature.

It does not make sense to write only a single instance of a polymorphic qualifier in a method definition, as in

void m(@PolyNull Object obj)

because this is no different than writing

void m(@Nullable Object obj)

The benefit of polymorphic qualifiers comes when one is used multiple times in a method, since then each instance turns into the same type qualifier. Most frequently, the polymorphic qualifier appears on both the return type and at least one formal parameter. It can also be useful to have polymorphic qualifiers on (only) multiple formal parameters, especially if the method side-effects one of its arguments.

2.6 The effective qualifier on a type

A checker sometimes treats a type as having a slightly different qualifier than what is written on the type — especially if the programmer wrote no qualifier at all. The following steps determine the effective qualifier on a type — the qualifier that the checkers treat as being present.

- 1. If a type is explicitly annotated in the source code, that qualifier is used.
- 2. The type system adds implicit qualifiers. Implicit qualifiers are built into a type system, and cannot be overridden (or redundantly stated) by a user.
 - Example 1: In the Nullness type system, enum values are never null, nor is a method receiver.
 - Example 2: In the Interning type system, string literals and enum values are always interned.

Implicit qualifiers are added by the type system's type factory class (Section 9.3), whose documentation should explain all of the type system's implicit qualifiers.

- If there is still no qualifier on a type, then a default qualifier may be applied; see Section 2.6.1. This step is implemented by the QualifierDefaults class. At this point, every type has a qualifier.
- 4. Every qualified type may be refined treated as a subtype of how it was declared or defaulted. This refinement is always sound and has the effect of eliminating false positive error messages. See Section 2.6.2. Type qualifier refinement is implemented by the Flow class.

Most readers can skip this section on first reading, because you will probably find the system simply works as you would prefer, without forcing you to write too many qualifiers in your program.

2.6.1 Default qualifier for unannotated types

A programmer can cause unannotated references to be treated as if they had a default annotation.

The default for unannotated references is sometimes determined by the type system; in such cases, specifying a default is not sensible. For example, the Interning type system has unqualified types and @Interned types; no different meaning for unannotated types may be specified. However, the Nullness type system has @Nullable types and @NonNull types, with no built-in meaning for unannotated types; a user may specify a default qualifier.

The user specifies a default qualifier by writing the <code>@DefaultQualifier</code> annotation on a package (via the <code>package-info.java</code> file), class, method, or variable declaration. The argument to <code>@DefaultQualifier</code> is the fully qualified <code>String</code> name of an annotation, and its optional second argument indicates where the default applies. If the second argument is omitted, the specified annotation is the default in all locations. See the Javadoc of <code>DefaultQualifier</code> for details.

The user could specify multiple default qualifiers by writing <code>@DefaultQualifiers</code> annotations in all the locations that accept <code>@DefaultQualifier</code>. DefaultQualifiers accept an array of <code>DefaultQualifier</code> arguments.

This example shows @DefaultQualifier and a @DefaultQualifiers annotations for the Nullness type system (Section 3) and the IGJ type system (Section 5):

```
@DefaultOualifiers({
  @DefaultQualifier("checkers.nullness.quals.NonNull"),
  @DefaultQualifier("checkers.igj.guals.Mutable")
})
class MyClass {
 public boolean compile (File myFile) {// myFile type "@NonNull @Mutable File"
                           // no warning: myFile is non-null
   if (!myFile.exists())
     return false;
   @Nullable File srcPath = ...; // must annotate to specify "@Nullable File"
   if (srcPath.exists()) // warning: srcPath might be null
     . . .
  }
  @DefaultQualifier("checkers.igj.quals.ReadOnly")
  public boolean isJavaFile(File myfile) { // myFile type "@NonNull @ReadOnly File"
    . . .
  }
}
```

2.6.2 Automatic type refinement (flow-sensitive type qualifier inference)

In order to reduce the burden of annotating types in your program, the checkers soundly treat certain variables and expressions as having a subtype of their declared or defaulted (Section 2.6.1) type. This functionality never introduces unsoundness or causes an error to be missed: it merely suppresses false positive warnings.

By default, all checkers, including new checkers that you write, can take advantage of this functionality. Most of the time, users don't have to think about, and may not even notice, this feature of the framework. The checkers simply do the right thing even when a programmer forgets an annotation on a local variable, or when a programmers writes an unnecessarily general type in a declaration.

If you are curious or want more details about this feature, then read on.

As an example, the Nullness checker (Section 3) can automatically determine that certain variables are non-null, even if they were explicitly or by default annotated as nullable. A variable or expression can be treated as @NonNull from the time that it is either assigned a non-null value or checked against null (e.g., via an assertion, if statement, or being dereferenced), until it might be re-assigned (e.g., via an assignment that might affect this variable, or via a method call that might affect this variable).

As with explicit annotations, the implicitly non-null types permit dereferences and assignments to explicitly nonnull types, without compiler warnings.

Consider this code, along with comments indicating whether the Nullness checker (Section 3) issues a warning. Note that the same expression may yield a warning or not depending on its context.

```
// Requires an argument of type @NonNull String
void parse(@NonNull String toParse) { ... }
// Argument does NOT have a @NonNull type
void lex(String toLex) {
   parse(toLex); // warning: toLex might be null
   if (toLex != null) {
      parse(toLex); // no warning: toLex is known to be non-null
    }
   parse(toLex); // warning: toLex might be null
   toLex = new String(...);
```

```
parse(toLex); // no warning: toLex is known to be non-null
}
```

If you find instances where you think a value should be inferred to have (or not have) a given annotation, but the checker does not do so, please submit a bug report (see Section 10.2) that includes a small piece of Java code that reproduces the problem.

Type inference is never performed for method parameters of non-private methods and for non-private fields, because unknown client code could use them in arbitrary ways. The inferred information is never written to the .class file as user-written annotations are.

The inference indicates when a variable can be treated as having a subtype of its declared type — for instance, when an otherwise nullable type can be treated as a @NonNull one. The inference never treats a variable as a supertype of its declared type (e.g., an expression of @NonNull type is never inferred to be treated as possibly-null).

2.7 What the checker guarantees

A checker can guarantee that a particular property holds throughout the code. For example, the Nullness checker (Section 3) guarantees that every expression whose type is a <code>@NonNull</code> type never evaluates to null. The Interning checker (Section 4) guarantees that every expression whose type is an <code>@Interned</code> type evaluates to an interned value. The checker makes its guarantee by examining every part of your program and verifying that no part of the program violates the guarantee.

There are some limitations to the guarantee.

- Native methods and reflection can behave in a manner that is impossible for a compiler plugin to check. Such constructs may violate the property being checked. Similarly, deserialization and cloning can create objects that could not result from normal constructor calls, and that therefore may violate the property being checked.
- A compiler plugin can check only those parts of your program that you run it on. If you compile some parts of your program without the -processor switch or with the checkers.skipClasses property (in other words, without running the checker), or if you use the @SuppressWarnings annotation to suppress some errors or warnings, then there is no guarantee that the entire program satisfies the property being checked. An analogous situation is using an external library that was compiled without being checked by the compiler plugin.
- Your code should pass the Java compiler without errors or warnings. In particular, your code should use generic types, with no uses of raw types. Misuse of generics, including casting away generic types, can cause other errors to be missed.
- The Checker Framework does not yet support annotations on intersection types (see JLS §4.9). As a result, checkers cannot provide guarantees about intersection types.
- Specific checkers may have other limitations; see their documentation for details.

A checker can be useful in finding bugs or in verifying part of a program, even if the checker is unable to verify the correctness of an entire program.

If you find that a checker fails to issue a warning that it should, then please report a bug (see Section 10.2).

3 Nullness checker

If the Nullness checker issues no warnings for a given program, then running that program will never throw a null pointer exception. This guarantee enables a programmer to prevent errors from occurring when his program is run. See Section 3.6 for a caveat to the guarantee.

Four qualifiers are part of the Nullness type system: @NonNull, @Nullable, @PolyNull, and @Raw. For a description of @PolyNull, see Section 2.5.




Figure 1: Type hierarchy for the Nullness type system. Java's Object is expressed as @Nullable Object. Programmers can omit most type qualifiers, because the default annotation (Section 3.2) is usually correct.

3.1 Annotating your code with @NonNull and @Nullable

In order to perform checking, you must annotate your code. You can write the <code>@NonNull</code> type annotation, which indicates a type that does not include the null value, or the <code>@Nullable</code> type annotation, which indicates a type that does include null. Unannotated references are treated as if they had a default annotation; see Section 3.2.

A variable of type Boolean always has one of the values TRUE, FALSE, or null. By contrast, a variable of type @NonNull Boolean always has one of the values TRUE or FALSE — never null. Dereferencing an expression of type @NonNull Boolean can never cause a null pointer exception.

The checker issues a warning in two cases:

- 1. When an expression of non-@NonNull type is dereferenced, because it might cause a null pointer exception.
- 2. When an expression of @NonNull type might become null, because it is a misuse of the type: the null value could flow to a dereference that the checker does not warn about.

This example shows both sorts of problems:

```
Object obj; // might be null
@NonNull Object nnobj; // never null
...
obj.toString() // checker warning: dereference might cause null pointer exception
nnobj = obj; // checker warning: nnobj may become null
```

Parameter passing and return values are checked analogously to assignments.

You can control the behavior of the Nullness checker via the -Alint options flow, cast, and cast:redundant.

3.2 Default annotation

As noted in Section 3.1, you can write @NonNull and @Nullable type annotations. Unannotated references are treated as if they had a default annotation (see Section 2.6.1, which also gives an example of how to specify a default by use of the @DefaultQualifier annotation).

There are three possible defaults:

- @Nullable: Unannotated types are regarded as possibly-null, or nullable. This default is backward-compatible with Java, which permits any reference to be null. You can activate this default by writing a @DefaultQualifier("checkers.nul annotation on a class or method declaration. If you write no @DefaultQualifier annotation, then the checker currently uses this default.
- @NonNull: Unannotated types are treated as non-null. You can activate this default via the @DefaultQualifier("checkers.null annotation.
- Non-null except locals (NNEL): Unannotated types are treated as @NonNull, *except* that the unannotated raw type of a local variable is treated as @Nullable. (Any generic arguments to a local variable still default to @NonNull.) You can activate this default via the @DefaultQualifier (value="checkers.nullness.quals.NonNull", locations={DefaultLocation.ALL_EXCEPT_LOCALS}) annotation.

The NNEL default leads to the smallest number of explicit annotations in your code [PAC $^+08$]. It is what we recommend. If you do not explicitly specify a different default, then NNEL is the default.

3.3 QRaw annotation for partially-initialized objects

During execution of a constructor, every field of non-primitive type starts out with the value null. If the field has @NonNull type, the value null violates the type. If the constructor makes a method call (passing this as a parameter or the receiver), then the called method could observe the object in an illegal state.

The @Raw type annotation represents a partially-initialized object. If a reference has @Raw type, then all fields fields are treated as @Nullable. Within the constructor, this has @Raw type and can only be passed to methods when the corresponding parameter is annotated with @Raw. Similar restrictions apply to assigning this to a field.

The Nullness checker does not yet implement strengthening of @Raw types — permitting a class to access its superclass's @NonNull fields as @NonNull as soon the superclass is fully initialized. Most users do not need such strengthening.

The name "raw" comes from a research paper that proposed this approach [FL03]. The @Raw annotation has nothing to do with the raw types of Java Generics.

3.4 Inference of @NonNull and @Nullable annotations

It can be tedious to write annotations in your code. Two tools exist that can automatically infer annotations and insert them in your program.

Your choice of tool depends on what default annotation (see Section 3.2) your code uses. You only need one of these tools.

- Inference of @Nullable: If your code uses the standard NNEL (non-null-except-locals) default or the NonNull default, then use the AnnotateNullable tool of the Daikon invariant detector.
- Inference of @NonNull: If your code uses the Nullable default, use the Non-null checker and inferencer of the JastAdd Extensible Compiler.

3.5 Examples

3.5.1 Tiny examples

To try the Nullness checker on a source file that uses the @NonNull qualifier, use the following command (where javac is the JSR 308 compiler):

javac -processor checkers.nullness.NullnessChecker examples/NullnessExample.java

Compilation will complete without warnings.

To see the checker warn about incorrect usage of annotations (and therefore the possibility of a null pointer exception at run time), use the following command:

javac -processor checkers.nullness.NullnessChecker examples/NullnessExampleWithWarnings.java

The compiler will issue three warnings regarding violation of the semantics of @NonNull.

3.5.2 Annotated library

The Nullness checker itself is annotated with @NonNull.

In addition, you can run the Nullness checker on the annotation scene library, another library that has been fully annotated with @NonNull. To run the Nullness checker on the annotation scene library, first download the scene library suite (which includes build dependencies for the scene library as well as its source code) and extract it into your checkers installation. The checker can then be run on the annotation scene library with Apache Ant using the following commands:

```
cd checkers
ant -f scene-lib-test.xml
```

You can view the annotated source code, which contains @NonNull annotations, in the checkers/scene-lib-test/src/annotations/ directory.




Figure 2: Type hierarchy for the Interning type system.

3.6 Suppressing warnings with assertions

In addition to the other ways of suppressing warnings (Section 2.4), the Nullness checker assumes that assertions succeed. For example, it assumes that no null pointer exception can occur in code such as

assert x != null; ... x.f ...

(Another way of stating the Nullness checker's use of assertions is as an additional caveat to the guarantees provided by a checker (Section 2.7). The Nullness checker prevents null pointer errors in your code under the assumption that assertions are enabled, and it does not guarantee that all of your assertions succeed.)

3.7 Other tools for nullness checking

The Checker Framework @NonNull annotation is similar, but not identical, to the @NotNull annotation of IntelliJ IDEA, the @NonNull annotation of FindBugs, the non_null modifier of JML, and annotations proposed by JSR 305, among others.

4 Interning checker

If the Interning checker issues no warnings for a given program, then all reference equality tests (i.e., "==") in that program operate on interned types. Interning is a design pattern in which the same object is used whenever two different objects would be considered equal. Interning is also known as canonicalization or hash-consing, and it is related to the flyweight design pattern. Interning can save memory and can speed up testing for equality by permitting use of ==; however, use of == on non-interned values can result in subtle bugs. For example:

```
Integer x = new Integer(22);
Integer y = new Integer(22);
System.out.println(x == y); // prints false!
```

The Interning checker helps programmers to prevent such bugs. The Interning checker also helps to prevent performance problems that result from failure to use interning. (See Section 2.7 for caveats to the checker's guarantees.)

Two qualifiers are part of the Interning type system: @Interned and @PolyInterned. For a description of @PolyInterned, see Section 2.5.

4.1 Annotating your code with @Interned

In order to perform checking, you must annotate your code with the <code>@Interned</code> type annotation, which indicates a type for the canonical representation of an object:

String s1 = ...; // type is (uninterned) "String" @Interned String s2 = ...; // Java type is "String", but checker treats it as "Interned String"

The type system enforced by the checker plugin ensures that only interned values can be assigned to s_2 . To specify that *all* objects of a given type are interned, annotate the class declaration:

```
public @Interned class MyInternedClass { ... }
```

This is equivalent to annotating every use of MyInternedClass, in a declaration or elsewhere. For example, enum classes are implicitly so annotated.

4.2 What the Interning checker checks

Objects of an @Interned type may be safely compared using the "==" operator.

The checker issues a warning in two cases:

- 1. When a reference (in)equality operator ("==" or "!=") has an operand of non-@Interned type.
- 2. When a non-@Interned type is used where an @Interned type is expected.

This example shows both sorts of problems:

```
Object obj;
@Interned Object iobj;
...
if (obj == iobj) { ... } // checker warning: reference equality test is unsafe
iobj = obj; // checker warning: iobj's referent may no longer be interned
```

String literals and the null literal are always considered interned, and object creation expressions (using new) are never considered @Interned unless they are annotated as such, as in

@Interned Double internedDoubleZero = new @Interned Double(0); // canonical representation for Double zero

The checker also issues a warning when .equals is used where == could be safely used. You can disable this behavior via the javac -Alint command-line option, like so: -Alint=-dotequals.

4.3 Examples

To try the Interning checker on a source file that uses the @Interned qualifier, use the following command (where javac is the JSR 308 compiler):

javac -processor checkers.interning.InterningChecker examples/InterningExample.java

Compilation will complete without warnings.

To see the checker warn about incorrect usage of annotations, use the following command:


javac -processor checkers.interning.InterningChecker examples/InterningExampleWithWarnings.java

The compiler will issue a warning regarding violation of the semantics of @Interned.

The Daikon invariant detector (http://groups.csail.mit.edu/pag/daikon/) is also annotated with @Interned.

5 IGJ checker

IGJ is a Java language extension that helps programmers to avoid mutation errors that result from unintended side effects. If the IGJ checker issues no warnings for a given program, then that program will never change objects that should not be changed. This guarantee enables a programmer to detect and prevent mutation-related errors. (See Section 2.7 for caveats to the guarantee.)



5.1 IGJ and Mutability

IGJ permits a programmer to express that a particular object should never be modified via any reference (object immutability), or that a reference should never be used to modify its referent (reference immutability). Once a programmer has expressed these facts, an automatic checker analyzes the code to either locate mutability bugs or to guarantee that the code contains no such bugs.

To learn the details of the IGJ language and type system, please see the ESEC/FSE 2007 paper "Object and reference immutability using Java generics" [ZPA $^+$ 07]. The IGJ checker supports Annotation IGJ (Section 5.3), which is slightly different dialect of IGJ than that described in the ESEC/FSE paper.

5.2 Supported Annotations

The supported annotations are @ReadOnly, @Mutable, @Immutable, @Assignable, and @AssignsFields, as specified in the IGJ paper. Additionally, the @I(*string*) annotation is added to mimic the template behavior of generics.

The <code>@ReadOnly</code> type annotation indicates that a reference provides only read-only access. The checker issues an error whenever mutation happens through a readonly reference, when fields of a readonly reference which are not explicitly marked with <code>@Assignable</code> are reassigned, or when a readonly expression is assigned to a mutable variable. The checker also emits a warning when casts increase the mutability access of a reference.

The @Mutable annotation ensures that a reference is mutable, no matter the inherited mutability. @AssignsFields similar, but permits only limited mutation — assignment of fields — and is for use by constructor helper methods.

The @Immutable annotation ensures that a reference is to an immutable object.

The @I annotation simulates mutability overloading. It can be applied to classes, methods, and parameters. See Section 5.3.3.

5.3 Annotation IGJ Dialect

The IGJ checker supports the Annotation IGJ dialect of IGJ. The syntax of Annotation IGJ is based on JSR 308 annotations.

The syntax of the original IGJ dialect [ZPA⁺07] was based on Java 5's generics and annotation mechanisms. The original IGJ dialect was not backward-compatible with Java (either syntactically or semantically). The dialect of IGJ checked by the IGJ checker corrects these problems.

The differences between the Annotation IGJ dialect and the original IGJ dialect are as follows.

5.3.1 Semantic Changes

• Annotation IGJ does not permit covariant changes in generic type arguments, for backward compatibility with Java. In ordinary Java, types with different generic type arguments, such as Vector<Integer> and Vector<Number>, have no subtype relationship, even if the arguments (Integer and Number) do. The original IGJ dialect changed the Java subtyping rules to permit safely varying a type argument covariantly in certain circumstances. For example,

• Annotation IGJ supports array immutability. The original IGJ dialect did not permit the (im)mutability of array elements to be specified, because the generics syntax used by the original IGJ dialect cannot be applied to array elements.

5.3.2 Syntax Changes

- Immutability is specified through JSR 308 [Ern08] annotations (Section 5.2), not through a combination of generics and annotations. Use of JSR 308 annotations makes Annotation IGJ backward compatible with Java syntax.
- Templating over Immutability: The annotation @I (*id*) is used to template over immutability. See Section 5.3.3.

5.3.3 Templating Over Immutability: @I

@I is a template annotation over IGJ Immutability annotations. It acts similarly to type variables in Java's generic types, and the name @I mimics the standard <I> type variable name used in code written in the original IGJ dialect. The annotation value string is used to distinguish between multiple instances of @I — in the generics-based original dialect, these would be expressed as two type variables <I> and <J>.

Usage on classes A class annotated with @I could be declared with any IGJ Immutability annotation. The actual immutability that @I is resolved to dictates the immutability type for all the non-static appearances of @I with the same value as the class declaration.


Example:

In the last example, @I was resolved to @Mutable for the instance file.

Usage on methods For example, it could be used for method parameters, return values, and the actual IGJ immutability value would be resolved based on the method invocation.

For example, the below method getMidpoint returns a Point with the same immutability type as the passed parameters if p1 and p2 match in immutability, otherwise @I is resolved to @ReadOnly:

static @I Point getMidpoint(@I Point p1, @I Point p2) { ... }



The @I annotation value distinguishes between @I declarations. So, the below method findUnion returns a collection of the same immutability type as the *first* collection parameter:

5.4 Examples

To try the IGJ checker on a source file that uses the IGJ qualifier, use the following command, where javac is the JSR 308 compiler.

javac -processor checkers.igj.IGJChecker examples/IGJExample.java

The IGJ checker itself is also annotated with IGJ annotations.

6 Javari checker

Javari [TE05, QTE08] is a Java language extension that helps programmers to avoid mutation errors that result from unintended side effects. If the Javari checker issues no warnings for a given program, then that program will never change objects that should not be changed. This guarantee enables a programmer to detect and prevent mutation-related errors. (See Section 2.7 for caveats to the guarantee.) The Javari webpage (http://groups.csail.mit.edu/paq/javari/) contains papers that explain the Javari language and type system.

The Javarifier tool infers Javari types for an existing program; see Section 6.2.

Also consider the IGJ checker (Section 5). The IGJ type system is more expressive than that of Javari, and the IGJ checker is a bit more robust. However, IGJ lacks a type inference tools such as Javarifier.

6.1 Annotation Javari dialect

The Javari checker uses an annotation-based dialect of the Javari language. A programmer can write five annotations: @ReadOnly, @Mutable, @Assignable, @PolyRead, and @QReadOnly. (@QReadOnly corresponds to Javari's "? readonly" for wildcard types).

The @ReadOnly type annotation indicates that a reference provides only read-only access. The checker issues an error whenever mutation happens through a readonly reference, when fields of a readonly reference which are not explicitly marked with @Assignable are reassigned, or when a readonly expression is assigned to a mutable variable. The checker also emits a warning when casts increase the mutability access of a reference.

The @Mutable annotation ensures that a reference is mutable, no matter the inherited mutability.

The @QReadOnly annotation is a mutability wildcard that can be applied to types (for example, List<@QReadOnly Date>). As such, it allows only the operations which are allowed for both readonly and mutable types.

The @PolyRead annotation (previously named @RoMaybe) specifies polymorphism over mutability; it simulates mutability overloading. It can be applied to methods and parameters. See Section 2.5 and the @PolyRead Javadoc for more details.

6.2 Inference of Javari annotations

It can be tedious to write annotations in your code. The Javarifier tool (http://groups.csail.mit.edu/pag/ javari/javarifier/) infers Javari types for an existing program. It automatically inserts Javari annotations in your Java program or in in .class files.

This has two benefits: it relieves the programmer of the tedium of writing annotations (though the programmer can always refine the inferred annotations), and it annotates libraries, permitting checking of programs that use those libraries.

6.3 Examples

To try the Javari checker on a source file that uses the Javari qualifier, use the following command, where javac is the JSR 308 compiler, or specify just one of the test files.

```
javac -processor checkers.javari.JavariChecker tests/javari/*.java
```

The compiler should issue the errors and warnings (if any) specified in the .out files with same name.

To run the test suite for the Javari checker, use ant javari-tests.

The Javari checker itself is also annotated with Javari annotations.

7 Basic checker

The Basic checker enforces only subtyping rules. It operates over annotations specified by a user on the command line. Thus, users can create a simple type checker without writing any code beyond definitions of the type qualifier annotations.

The Basic checker can accommodate all of the type system enhancements that can be declaratively specified (see Section 9). This includes type introduction rules (implicit annotations, e.g., literals are implicitly considered @NonNull) via the @ImplicitFor meta-annotation, and other features such as flow-sensitive type qualifier inference (Section 2.6.2) and qualifier polymorphism (Section 2.5).

The Basic checker is also useful to type system designers who wish to experiment with a checker before writing code; the Basic checker demonstrates the functionality that a checker inherits from the Checker Framework.

For type systems that require special checks (e.g., warning about dereferences of possibly-null values), you will need to write code and extend the framework as discussed in Section 9.

7.1 Using the Basic checker

The Basic checker is used in the same way as other checkers (using the -processor option; see Section 2), except that it requires an additional annotation processor argument via the standard "-A" switch:

• -Aquals: this option specifies a comma-no-space-separated list of the fully-qualified class names of the annotations used as qualifiers in the custom type system. It serves the same purpose as the @TypeQualifiers annotation used by other checkers (see section 9.5).

The annotations listed in -Aquals must be accessible to the compiler during compilation, either on the classpath or sourcepath or as one of the . java files passed to the compiler.

7.2 Basic checker example

Consider a hypothetical Encrypted type qualifier, which denotes that the representation of an object (such as a String, CharSequence, or byte[]) is encrypted. To use the Basic checker for the Encrypted type system, follow three steps.

1. Define an annotation for the Encrypted qualifier:

package myquals;

```
/**
 * Denotes that the representation of an object is encrypted.
 * ...
 */
@TypeQualifier
@SubtypeOf(Unqualified.class)
public @interface Encrypted {}
```

2. Write @Encrypted annotations in your program:

```
public @Encrypted String encrypt(String text) {
    // ...
}
// Only send encrypted data!
public void sendOverInternet(@Encrypted String msg) {
    // ...
}
void sendText() {
   // ...
    @Encrypted String ciphertext = encrypt(plaintext);
    sendOverInternet(ciphertext);
    // ...
}
void sendPassword() {
    String password = getUserPassword();
    sendOverInternet(password);
}
```

You may also need to add @SuppressWarnings annotations to the encrypt and decrypt methods. 3. Invoke the compiler with the Basic checker, specifying the @Encrypted annotation using the -Aquals option:

\$ javac -processor checkers.basic.BasicChecker -Aquals=myquals.Encrypted YourProgram.java

```
YourProgram.java:42: incompatible types.
found : java.lang.String
required: @myquals.Encrypted java.lang.String
    sendOverInternet(password);
```

8 Annotating libraries

When annotated code uses an unannotated library, a checker may issue warnings. As described in Section 2.3, the best way to correct this problem is to add annotations to the library. This section tells you how to add annotations to a library for which you have no source code, because the library is library distributed only binary (.class or .jar) form.

The Checker Framework distribution contains annotations for popular libraries, such as the JDK. If you annotate additional libraries, please share them with us so that we can distribute the annotations with the Checker Framework; see Section 10.2.

You can determine the correct annotations for a library either automatically by running an inference tool, or manually by reading the documentation. Presently, type inference tools are available for the Nullness (Section 3.4) and Javari (Section 6.2) type systems.

You can make the annotations known to the JSR 308 compiler (and thus to the checkers) in two ways.

- You can use the stub class generation tool to create a "stub file" file containing classes with no method bodies, and annotate the stub classes file. Then, you can supply the stub files to the checker when compiling/checking your program. Section 8.1 describes how to use the stub class generation tools.
- You can annotate the compiled .jar or .class files using the annotation file utilities (http://groups.csail. mit.edu/pag/jsr308/annotation-file-utilities/). First, express the annotations textually as an annotation index file, and then the tools insert them in the compiled library class files. See the Annotation File Utilities documentation for full details.

8.1 Using stub classes

A stub file contains "stub classes" that contain annotated signatures. A checker uses those annotated signatures at compile time, instead of or in addition to annotations that appear in the library.

Section 8.1.1 describes how to create stub classes. Section 8.1.2 describes how to use stub classes. These sections illustrate stub classes via the example of creating a @Interned-annotated version of java.lang.String. (You don't need to repeat these steps, since such a stub class is already included in the Checker Framework distribution; see file checkers/src/checkers/interning/jdk.astub, which is reproduced in Section 8.1.3.)

8.1.1 Creating a stub file

1. Create a stub file by running the stub class generator. (checkers.jar must be on your classpath.)

```
cd nullness-stub
```

java checkers.util.stub.StubGenerator java.lang.String > String.astub

Supply it with the fully-qualified name of the class for which you wish to generate a stub class. The stub class generator prints the stub class to standard out, so you may wish to redirect its output to a file.

2. Add import statements for the annotations. So you would need to add the following import statement at the beginning of the file:

import checkers.interning.quals.Interned;

3. Add annotations to the stub class. For example, you might annotate the String.intern() method as follows:

```
@Interned String intern();
```

You may also remove irrelevant parts of the stub file; see Section 8.1.3.

8.1.2 Using a stub file

1. When you run javac with a given checker/processor, add a -Astubs=file_or_path_name argument to indicate where to find the stub file. You can supply multiple stub files, delimited by File.pathSeparator (':' for Linux and Mac, ';' for Windows).

The -Astubs argument causes the Checker Framework to read annotations from annotated stub classes in preference to the unannotated original library classes.

javac -processor checkers.interning.InterningChecker -Astubs=String.astub MyFile.java MyOtherFile.java ...

8.1.3 Stub file format

The stub file format is designed for simplicity, readability, and compactness. It reads like a Java file but contains only the necessary information for type checking.

As an illustration, the stub file for the Interning type system (Section 4) is as follows. This file appears as checkers/src/checkers/interning/jdk.astub in the Checker Framework distribution.

```
import checkers.interning.quals.Interned;
package java.lang;
// All instances of Class are interned.
@Interned class Class<T> { }
class String {
   // The only interning-related method in the JDK.
   @Interned String intern();
}
```

You can use a regular Java file as a stub file. However, you can omit information that is not relevant to pluggable type-checking; this makes the stub file smaller and easier for people to read and write. You can also put annotated signatures for multiple classes in a single stub file.

The stub file format differs from Java source code in the following ways:

- **Method bodies:** The stub class does not require method bodies for classes; any method body may be replaced by a semicolon (;), as in an interface or abstract method declaration.
- **Method declarations:** You only have to specify the methods that you need to annotate. Any method declaration may be omitted, in which case the checker reads its annotations from the library. (If you are using a stub class, then typically the library's version is unannotated.)

Non-type-related specifiers: Non-type-related Java specifiers (e.g., public, final, volatile) may be omitted.

- **Import statements:** The only required import statements are the ones to import type annotations. Such imports must be at the beginning of the file. Other import statements are optional.
- Multiple classes and packages: The stub file format permits having multiple classes and packages. The packages are separated by a package statement: package my.package;. Each package declaration may occur only once; in other words, all classes from a package must appear together.

8.1.4 Known problems

The Checker Framework stub file reader has several limitations:

- It does not handle enums.
- It does not handle non-type annotations (e.g. IGJ's Assignable).
- It does not handle arrays yet.

8.2 Using skeleton files (distributed annotated JDKs)

The Checker Framework distribution contains annotated JDKs at the path checkers/jdk/[checker-name]/src. These are in another format called "skeleton classes". We are currently working on converting the skeleton files into stub files.

1. When you run javac, add a -sourcepath argument to indicate where to find the skeleton classes. Supply -sourcepath in addition to whatever other arguments you usually use, including -classpath. The -sourcepath argument causes the compiler to read annotations from annotated skeleton classes in preference to the unannotated original library classes. However, the compiler will use the originals on the classpath if no file is available on the sourcepath.

javac -processor checkers.nullness.NullnessChecker -sourcepath checkers/jdk/nullness/src my_source_files

2. Run the compiled code as usual. Do *not* include the skeleton files on the classpath. If a skeleton method is called instead of the true library method, then your program will throw a RuntimeException.

9 How to create a new checker

This section describes how to extend the Checker Framework to create a checker — a type-checking compiler plugin that detects bugs or verifies their absence. After a programmer annotates a program, the checker plugin verifies that the code is consistent with the annotations. If you only want to *use* a checker, you do not need to read this section.

Writing a simple checker is easy! For example, here is a complete, useful type checker:

```
@TypeQualifier
@SubtypeOf(Unqualified.class)
public @interface Encrypted {}
```

This checker is so short because it builds on the Basic Checker (Section 7). See Section 7.2 for more details about this particular checker.

Another existing checker that you can customize is a typestate checker by Adam Warski. You can download the checker from http://www.warski.org/typestate.html, and you can read Adam's blog post about it at http://www.warski.org/blog/?p=37.

The rest of this section contains many details for people who want to more write powerful checkers. You won't need all of the details, at least at first. In addition to reading this section of the manual, you may find it helpful to examine the implementations of the checkers that are distributed with the Checker Framework, or to create your checker by modifying another one. The Javadoc documentation of the framework and the checkers is in the distribution and is also available online at http://pag.csail.mit.edu/jsr308/current/doc/.

If you write a new checker, let us know so we can mention it here, link to it from our webpages, or include it in the Checker Framework distribution.

9.1 The parts of a checker

The Checker Framework provides abstract base classes (default implementations), and a specific checker overrides as little or as much of the default implementations as necessary. Sections 9.2–9.5 describe the components of a type system as written using the Checker Framework:

- 9.2 Type qualifiers and hierarchy. You define the annotations for the type system and the subtyping relationships among qualified types (for instance, that @NonNull Object is a subtype of @Nullable Object).
- 9.3 Type introduction rules. For some types and expressions, a qualifier should be treated as present even if a programmer did not explicitly write it. For example, in the Nullness type system every literal other than null has a @NonNull type; examples of literals include "some string" and java.util.Date.class.
- 9.4 **Type rules.** You specify the the type system semantics (type rules), violation of which yields a type error. There are two types of rules. Your checker automatically inherits rules related to the type hierarchy, such as that every assignment and pseudo-assignment satisfies a subtyping relationship. You write any additional rules. For example, in the Nullness type system, only references with a @NonNull type may be dereferenced.
- 9.5 Interface to the compiler. The compiler interface indicates which annotations are part of the type system, which command-line options and @SuppressWarnings annotations the checker recognizes, etc.

9.2 Annotations: Type qualifiers and hierarchy

A type system designer specifies the qualifiers in the type system and the type hierarchy that relates them.

Type qualifiers are defined as Java annotations [Dar06]. In Java, an annotation is defined using the Java @interface keyword. Write the @TypeQualifier annotation on the annotation definition to indicate that the annotation represents a type qualifier (e.g., @NonNull or @Interned) and should be processed by the checker. For example:

```
// Define an annotation for the @NonNull type qualifier.
@TypeQualifier
public @interface NonNull { }
```

(An annotation that is written on an annotation definition, such as @TypeQualifier, is called a *meta-annotation*.)

The type hierarchy induced by the qualifiers can be defined either declaratively via meta-annotations (Section 9.2.1), or procedurally through subclassing QualifierHierarchy or TypeHierarchy (Section 9.2.2).

To set a default annotation (which a user may override), use the QualifierDefaults.setAbsoluteDefaults method. You may do this even if you have declaratively defined the qualifier hierarchy; see the Nullness checker's implementation for an example. Recall that defaults are distinct from implicit annotations; see Sections 2.6 and 9.3.

9.2.1 Declaratively defining the qualifier and type hierarchy

Declaratively, the type system designer uses two meta-annotations (written on the declaration of qualifier annotations) to specify the qualifier hierarchy.

• @SubtypeOf denotes that a qualifier is the subtype of another qualifier or qualifiers, specified as an array of class literals. For example, for any type *T*, @NonNull *T* is a subtype of @Nullable *T*:

```
@TypeQualifier
@SubtypeOf( { Nullable.class } )
public @interface NonNull { }
```

(The actual definition of NonNull is slightly more complex.)

@SubtypeOf accepts multiple annotation classes as an argument, permitting the type hierarchy to be an arbitrary DAG. For example, in the IGJ type system (Section 5.2), @Mutable and @Immutable induce two mutually exclusive subtypes of the @ReadOnly qualifier.

As a special case, the root qualifier needs to be annotated with <code>@Subtype()</code>). The root qualifier is the qualifier that is a supertype of all other qualifiers. Nullable is the root of the Nullness type system, hence is defined as:

```
@TypeQualifier
@SubtypeOf( { } )
public @interface Nullable { }
```

All type qualifiers, except for polymorphic qualifiers, need to be properly annotated with SubtypeOf.

• @PolymorphicQualifier denotes that a qualifier is a polymorphic qualifier. For example:

```
@TypeQualifier
@PolymorphicQualifier
public @interface PolyNull { }
```

For a description of polymorphic qualifiers, see Section 2.5. A polymorphic qualifier needs no @SubtypeOf meta-annotation and need not be mentioned in any other @SubtypeOf meta-annotation.

The declarative and procedural mechanisms for specifying the hierarchy can be used together. In particular, when using the @SubtypeOf meta-annotation, further customizations may be performed procedurally (Section 9.2.2) by overriding the isSubtype method in the checker class (Section 9.5). However, the declarative mechanism is sufficient for most type systems.

9.2.2 Procedurally defining the qualifier and type hierarchy

While the declarative syntax suffices for many cases, more complex type hierarchies can be expressed by overriding, in BaseTypeChecker, either createQualifierHierarchy or createTypeHierarchy (typically only one of these needs to be overridden). For more details, see the Javadoc of those methods and of the classes QualifierHierarchy and TypeHierarchy.

The QualifierHierarchy class represents the qualifier hierarchy (not the type hierarchy), e.g., Mutable is a subtype of ReadOnly. A type-system designer may subclass QualifierHierarchy to express customized qualifier relationships (e.g., relationships based on annotation arguments).

The TypeHierarchy class represents relationships between annotated types, rather than merely type qualifiers, e.g., @Mutable Date is a subtype of @ReadOnly Date. The default TypeHierarchy uses QualifierHierarchy to

determine all subtyping relationships. The default TypeHierarchy handles generic type arguments, array components, type variables, and wild-cards in a similar manner to the Java standard subtype relationship but with taking qualifiers into consideration. Some type systems may need to override that behavior. For instance, the Java Language Specification specifies that two generic types are subtypes only if their type arguments are identical: for example, List<Date> is not a subtype of List<Object>, or of any other generic List. (In the technical jargon, the generic arguments are "invariant".) The Javari type system overrides this behavior to allow some type arguments to change covariantly in a type-safe manner (e.g., List<@Mutable Date> is a subtype of List<@QReadOnly Date>).

9.2.3 Defining polymorphic qualifiers

9.3 Type Factory: Implicit annotations

For some types and expressions, a qualifier should be treated as present even if a programmer did not explicitly write it. For example, every literal (other than null) has a @NonNull type.

The implicit annotations may be specified declaratively and/or procedurally.

9.3.1 Declaratively specifying implicit annotations

The @ImplicitFor meta-annotation indicates implicit annotations. When written on a qualifier, ImplicitFor specifies the trees (AST nodes) and types for which the framework should automatically add that qualifier.

In short, the types and trees can be specified via any combination of four fields:

- trees: an array of com.sun.source.tree.Kind, e.g., NEW_ARRAY or METHOD_INVOCATION
- types: an array of TypeKind, e.g., ARRAY or BOOLEAN
- treeClasses: an array of class literals for classes implementing Tree, e.g., LiteralTree.class or ExpressionTree.class
- typeClasses: an array of class literals for classes implementing javax.lang.model.type.TypeMirror, e.g., javax.lang.model.type.PrimitiveType. Often you should use a subclass of AnnotatedTypeMirror

For example, consider the definitions of the @NonNull and @Nullable type qualifiers:

```
@TypeQualifier
@SubtypeOf( { Nullable.class } )
@ImplicitFor(
  types={TypeKind.PACKAGE},
  typeClasses={AnnotatedPrimitiveType.class},
  trees={
    Tree.Kind.NEW_CLASS,
    Tree.Kind.NEW_ARRAY,
    Tree.Kind.NEW_ARRAY,
    Tree.Kind.PLUS,
    // All literals except NULL_LITERAL:
    Tree.Kind.BOOLEAN_LITERAL, Tree.Kind.CHAR_LITERAL, Tree.Kind.DOUBLE_LITERAL, Tree.Kind.FLOAT_LITERAL,
    Tree.Kind.INT_LITERAL, Tree.Kind.CONG_LITERAL, Tree.Kind.STRING_LITERAL
  })
  public @interface NonNull { }
```

```
@TypeQualifier
@SubtypeOf({})
@ImplicitFor(trees={Tree.Kind.NULL_LITERAL})
public @interface Nullable { }
```

For more details, see the Javadoc for the ImplicitFor annotation, and the Javadoc for the javac classes that are linked from it. (You only need to understand a small amount about the javac AST, such as the Tree.Kind and TypeKind enums. All the information you need is in the Javadoc, and Section 9.8 can help you get started.)

9.3.2 Procedurally specifying implicit annotations

The Checker Framework provides a representation of annotated types, AnnotatedTypeMirror, that extends the standard TypeMirror interface but integrates a representation of the annotations into a type representation. A checker's *type factory* class, given an AST node, returns the annotated type of that expression. The Checker Framework's abstract *base type factory* class, AnnotatedTypeFactory, supplies a uniform, Tree-API-based interface for querying the annotations on a program element, regardless of whether that element is declared in a source file or in a class file. It also handles default annotations, and it optionally performs flow-sensitive local type inference.

AnnotatedTypeFactory inserts the qualifiers that the programmer explicitly inserted in the code. Yet, certain constructs should be treated as having a type qualifier even when the programmer has not written one. The type system designer may subclass AnnotatedTypeFactory and override annotateImplicit (Tree, AnnotatedTypeMirror) and annotateImplicit (Element, AnnotatedTypeMirror) to account for such constructs.

9.4 Visitor: Type rules

A type system's rules define which operations on values of a particular type are forbidden.

The framework provides a *base visitor class*, BaseTypeVisitor, that performs type-checking at each node of a source file's AST. It uses the visitor design pattern to traverse Java syntax trees as provided by Sun's Tree API, and issues a warning whenever the type system induced by the type qualifier is violated.

A checker's visitor overrides one method in the base visitor for each special rule in the type qualifier system. Most type-checkers override only a few methods in BaseTypeVisitor. For example, the visitor for the Nullness type system of Section 3 consists of a single 4-line method that warns if an expression of nullable type is dereferenced, as in:

myObject.hashCode(); // invalid dereference

By default, BaseTypeVisitor performs subtyping checks that are similar to Java subtype rules, but taking the type qualifiers into account. BaseTypeVisitor issues these errors:

• invalid assignment (type.incompatible) when an assignment from an expression type to an incompatible type. The assignment may be a simple assignment, or pseudo-assignment like return expressions or argument passing in a method invocation

In particular, in every assignment and pseudo-assignment, the left-hand side of the assignment is a supertype of (or the same type as) the right-hand side. For example, this assignment is not permitted:

```
@Nullable Object myObject;
@NonNull Object myNonNullObject;
...
myNonNullObject = myObject; // invalid assignment
```

- invalid generic argument (generic.argument.invalid) when a type is bound to an incompatible generic type variable
- invalid method invocation (method.invocation.invalid) when a method is invoked on an object whose type is incompatible with the method receiver type
- invalid overriding parameter type (override.parameter.invalid) when a parameter in a method declaration is incompatible with that parameter in the overridden method's declaration
- invalid overriding return type (override.return.invalid) when a parameter in a method declaration is incompatible with that parameter in the overridden method's declaration
- invalid overriding receiver type (override.receiver.invalid) when a receiver in a method declaration is incompatible with that receiver in the overridden method's declaration

9.5 The checker class: Compiler interface

A checker's entry point is a subclass of BaseTypeChecker. This entry point, which we call the checker class, serves two roles: an interface to the compiler and a factory for constructing type-system classes.

Because the Checker Framework provides reasonable defaults, oftentimes the checker class has no work to do. Here are the complete definitions of the checker classes for the Interning and Nullness checkers:

```
@TypeQualifiers({ Interned.class, PolyInterned.class })
@SupportedLintOptions({"dotequals"})
public final class InterningChecker extends BaseTypeChecker { }
@TypeQualifiers({ Nullable.class, Raw.class, NonNull.class, PolyNull.class })
@SupportedLintOptions({"flow", "cast", "cast:redundant"})
public class NullnessChecker extends BaseTypeChecker { }
```

The checker class must be annotated by @TypeQualifiers, which lists the annotations that make up the type hierarchy for this checker (including polymorphic qualifiers), provided as an array of class literals. Each one is a type qualifier whose definition bears the @TypeQualifier meta-annotation (or is returned by the BaseTypeChecker-.getSupportedTypeQualifiers method).

The checker class bridges between the compiler and the checker plugin. It invokes the type-rule check visitor on every Java source file being compiler, and provides a simple API, report, to issue errors using the compiler error reporting mechanism.

Also, the checker class follows the factory method pattern to construct the concrete classes (e.g., visitor, factory) and annotation hierarchy representation. It is a convention that, for a type system named Foo, the compiler interface (checker), the visitor, and the annotated type factory are named as FooChecker, FooVisitor, and FooAnnotatedTypeFactory. BaseTypeChecker uses the convention to reflectively construct the components. Otherwise, the checker writer must specify the component classes for construction.

A checker can customize the default error messages through a Properties-loadable text file named messages.properties that appears in the same directory as the checker class. The property file keys are the strings passed to report (like type.incompatible) and the values are the strings to be printed (cannot assign ...). The messages.properties file only need to mention the new messages that the checker defines. It is also allowed to override messages defined in superclasses, but this is rarely needed.

9.6 Testing framework

[This section should discuss the testing framework that is used for checking the distributed checkers.]

9.7 Debugging options

The Checker Framework provides debugging options that can be helpful when writing checker. These are provided via the standard javac "-A" switch, which is used to pass options to an annotation processor.

- -Anomsgtext: use message keys (such as "type.invalid") rather than full message text when reporting errors or warnings
- -Ashowchecks: print debugging information for each pseudo-assignment check (as performed by BaseTypeVisitor; see Section 9.4 above)
- -Afilenames: prints the name of each file before type-checking it

The following example demonstrates how these options are used:

```
$ javac -processor checkers.interning.InterningChecker \
    examples/InternedExampleWithWarnings.java -Ashowchecks -Anomsgtext -Afilenames
[InterningChecker] InterningExampleWithWarnings.java
success (line 18): STRING_LITERAL "foo"
    actual: DECLARED @checkers.interning.quals.Interned java.lang.String
    expected: DECLARED @checkers.interning.quals.Interned java.lang.String
    success (line 19): NEW_CLASS new String("bar")
    actual: DECLARED java.lang.String
    expected: DECLARED java.lang.String
    expected: DECLARED java.lang.String
    expected: DECLARED java.lang.String
    examples/InterningExampleWithWarnings.java:21: (not.interned)
    if (foo == bar)
```

```
success (line 22): STRING_LITERAL "foo == bar"
    actual: DECLARED @checkers.interning.quals.Interned java.lang.String
    expected: DECLARED java.lang.String
1 error
```

You can use any standard debugger to observe the execution of your checker. Set the main class to com.sun.tools.javac.Main and the bootclasspath to include the JSR308 langtools.

9.8 javac implementation survival guide

The implementation of Sun's javac compiler can be a bit daunting to a newcomer, and its documentation does not particularly help a newcomer to get oriented. But do not lose heart! This section helps you to understand the small part of javac that you need in order to write a checker. Other useful resources include the Java Infrastructure Developer's guide at http://wiki.netbeans.org/wiki/view/Java_DevelopersGuide and the compiler mailing list archives at http://news.gmane.org/gmane.comp.java.openjdk.compiler.devel (subscribe at http://mail.openjdk.java.net/mailman/listinfo/compiler-dev).

The Checker Framework uses Sun's Tree API to access a program's AST. This is specific to the Sun JDK. In the future, the Checker Framework can be migrated to use the Java Model AST of JSR 198 (Extension API for Integrated Development Environments) [Cro06], which gives access to the entire source code of a method in an implementation-neutral way.

A Tree is an AST node; it represents an arbitrary code snippet such as a method definition, a block, a statement, etc.

The Tree interface has many subinterfaces, that specify what kind of node is being handled. Trees are usually processed by a class implementing the TreeVisitor interface, through the accept method on Tree. Common implementations of TreeVisitor that you may want to extend are SimpleTreeVisitor, that visits a single node based on its type, TreeScanner, that visits all subnodes recursively, and TreePathScanner, that visits all subnodes recursively and stores the TreePath corresponding the the currently visited Tree. (Also note that the iterator given by TreePath used to have an implementation bug.)

In order to determine the kind of an object that extends Tree, use the getKind method, as opposed to the instanceof operator, since a Tree implementation might opt to implement more than one interface from this API. There is a utility class to perform operations on trees, Trees, but the framework is intended to do all the low-level tree processing, so you probably should not need to use this class.

An Element represents a program element such as packages, classes or methods. Element has 5 subinterfaces: ExecutableElement represents methods, constructors or initializers (anything invocable); PackageElement represents package elements, and contain package information; TypeElement represents the element of a class or an interface (note that TypeElement is an Element, not a Type; the corresponding Type is represented by DeclaredType; TypeParameterElement represents an element of a formal type parameter of a something with generics, and VariableElement represents the element associated with a variable. There is an ElementVisitor interface for visiting objects that Element, in a similar manner to the Tree visitors, with similar provided implementations. Use the asType method from Element to obtain a TypeMirror for the element.

Again, Element is an interface, so use getKind() to obtain the kind of an Element, as opposed to the instance of operator, since an implementation of Element might also implement other element interfaces. There is an utility class for handling elements, Elements; the appropriate instance can be obtained by using the getElementUtils method on the ProcessingEnvironment object visible on factories and checkers. The framework should do most of the element processing that requires Elements, unless you are doing something non-trivial.

A TypeMirror represents a Java type. It is yet another interface you should be familiar with, with various subinterfaces, notable ones being DeclaredType for class and interface types, and ExecutableType for method, constructor and initializer types.

Note that a MethodTree resolves into a ExecutableType, while a MethodInvocationTree resolves into a DeclaredType if the return type is a class or an interface, an ArrayType if the return type is an array, a NoType if the return type is void, or a PrimitiveType if the return type is primitive.

Not every Tree corresponds to an Element (such as a BlockTree), not every Tree corresponds to a TypeMirror (again, such as a BlockTree), and not every TypeMirror has a corresponding Element (such as primitive types or

arrays).

As one could expect by this point, TypeMirror is an interface, so use the appropriate getKind() method to distinguish the types, as opposed to the instance of operator, since those are interfaces, and more than one can be implemented by a same object.

Note that the TypeMirror API makes no guarantees that the same type will always be represented by the same object; use the method recommended on the API if you need to compare two types.

TypeVisitor and implementations of visitors for TypeMirror are provided, but those classes should not be used or extended directly on the framework, since all checker plugin classes are meant to visit AnnotatedTypeMirror instead, modifying the annotations as needed. A Types utility class is provided by the ProcessingEnvironment as well, if you need to do more complex operations with types. In general, you should use AnnotatedTypeMirror and its subclasses as opposed to using TypeMirror and its subinterfaces.

An AnnotatedTypeMirror (defined in the Checker Framework, not in javac) represents an annotated type — a type along with all its annotations. It is modeled after Sun's TypeMirror. Similarly modeled visitors are presented: a AnnotatedTypeVisitor interface, implemented by SimpleAnnotatedTypeVisitor for visiting just one node, AnnotatedTypeScanner for visiting every node recursively.

In short: a Tree represents some snippet of code, an Element represents some program element, and a TypeMirror represents a Java type, but you usually should use AnnotatedTypeMirror, provided by the Checker Framework, instead of TypeMirror, as our implementation carries along with the types the annotation information at every node level. The AnnotatedTypeFactory (or its extension on your framework plugin) is responsible for producing AnnotatedTypeMirror objects for Tree and Element parameters it receives; those AnnotatedTypeMirror objects are then processed by the visitor class and checked by the checker class on your checker plugin.

10 Troubleshooting and getting help

10.1 Common problems and solutions

If you get the error

com.sun.tools.javac.code.Symbol\$CompletionFailure: class file for com.sun.source.tree.Tree not found

then you are using the source installation and file tools.jar is not on your classpath; see the installation instructions (Section 1.2).

If you get an error such as

package checkers.nullness.quals does not exist

despite no apparent use of import checkers.nullness.quals.*; in the source code, then perhaps jsr308_imports is set as a Java system property, a shell environment variable, or a command-line option (see Section 2.1.1). You can solve this by unsetting the variable/option, or by ensuring that the checkers.jar file is on your classpath.

10.1.1 Known problems

- The framework currently does not honor annotated type variables (e.g., @NonNull T).
- The framework is missing a check for constructor invocation. It does not report an error in cases like: new @Mutable MyObject() when the invoked constructor is marked as @Immutable.
- The framework is missing a check for type argument subtyping in method invocations if the type arguments are inferred.
- The checks for enclosed types is not fully tested.
- Nullness checker: The heuristics for suppressing Map.get() related errors are not sound or precise.
- Nullness checker: The heuristics for identifying uninitialized fields are not sound or precise.

10.2 How to report problems

If you have a problem with any checker, or with the Checker Framework, please let us know at jsr308-bugs@lists.csail.mit.edu. In addition to bug reports, we welcome suggestions, annotated libraries, bug fixes, new features, new checker plugins, and other improvements.

Please ensure that your bug report is clear and that it is complete. Otherwise, we may be unable to understand it or to reproduce it, either of which would prevent us from fixing the bug. Your bug report will be most helpful if you:

- Indicate exactly what you did. Show the exact commands (don't merely describe them in words). Don't skip any steps.
- Include all files that are necessary to reproduce the problem. This includes every file that is used by any of the commands you reported, and possibly other files as well.
- Indicate exactly what the result was (don't merely describe it in words). Also indicate what you expected the result to be remember, a bug is a difference between desired and actual outcomes.
- Indicate which version of the JSR 308 compiler and Checker Framework you are using. You can determine the JSR 308 version by running javac -version.

10.3 Installing the source release

The binary release (Section 1.2) contains everything that most users need, both to use the distributed checkers and to write your own checkers. This section describes how to install the source release. Doing so permits you to examine and modify the implementation of the distributed checkers and of the checker framework. It may also help you to debug problems more effectively.

10.3.1 The short instructions (for Linux only)

The following commands install the JSR 308 javac compiler and the Checker Framework, or update an existing installation. It currently works only on **Linux**. For more details, or if anything goes wrong, see the comments in the Makefile-jsr308-install file.

1. Execute the following commands:

```
cd
wget -nv -N http://groups.csail.mit.edu/pag/jsr308/current/Makefile-jsr308-install
make -f Makefile-jsr308-install
```

2. Set some environment variables according to the instructions at the top of file Makefile-jsr308-install.

10.3.2 The longer instructions

The following instructions give detailed steps for installing the source release of the Checker Framework.

- 1. Download and install the JSR 308 implementation; follow the instructions at http://groups.csail.mit. edu/pag/jsr308/current/README-jsr308.html#installing. This creates a langtools directory.
- 2. Download the Checker Framework distribution zipfile from http://groups.csail.mit.edu/pag/jsr308/ current/jsr308-checkers.zip, and unzip it to create a checkers directory. We recommend that the checkers directory and the langtools directory be siblings. Example commands:

```
cd $JSR308
wget http://groups.csail.mit.edu/pag/jsr308/current/jsr308-checkers.zip
unzip jsr308-checkers.zip
```

3. Optionally edit property compiler.lib in file checkers/build.properties. You don't have to do this if the checkers directory and the langtools directory are siblings.

4. Add to your classpath: \$JSR308/jdk1.7.0/lib/tools.jar and \$JSR308/checkers/checkers.jar. (If you do not do this, you will have to supply the -cp option whenever you run javac and use a checker plugin.) Example commands:

```
export JSR308=${HOME}/jsr308
export CLASSPATH=${CLASSPATH}:$JSR308/jdk1.7.0/lib/tools.jar:$JSR308/checkers/checkers.jar
```

- 5. Test that everything works:
 - Run ant all-tests in the checkers directory:
 - cd checkers
 - ant all-tests
 - Run the Nullness checker examples (see Section 3.5).

JSR 308 extends the Java language to permit annotations to appear on types, as in List<@NonNull String>. This change is planned to be part of the Java 7 language.) We recommend that you write annotations in comments, as in List</*@NonNull*/ String> (see Section 2.1). The JSR 308 compiler still reads such annotations, but this syntax permits you to use a compiler other than the JSR 308 compiler. For example, you can compile your code with a Java 5 compiler, and you can use a checker as an external tool in an IDE such as Eclipse.

10.3.3 Building from source

Building (compiling) the checkers and framework from source creates the checkers.jar file. A pre-compiled checkers.jar is included in the distribution, so building it is optional. It is mostly useful for people who are developing compiler plug-ins (type-checkers). If you only want to *use* the compiler and existing plug-ins, it is sufficient to use the pre-compiled version.

- 1. Edit checkers/build.properties file so that the compiler.lib property specifies the location of the JSR 308 javac.jar library. (If you also installed the JSR 308 compiler from source, and you made the checkers and langtools directories siblings, then you don't need to edit checkers/build.properties.)
- 2. Run ant in the checkers directory:

```
cd checkers ant
```

10.4 Learning more

The technical paper "Practical pluggable types for Java" [PAC⁺08] (http://people.csail.mit.edu/mernst/pubs/ pluggable-checkers-issta2008.pdf) gives more technical detail about many aspects of the Checker Framework and its implementation. The technical paper also describes a few features that are part of the distribution but are not yet documented in this manual. Finally, the technical paper describes case studies in which each of the checkers found previously-unknown errors in real software.

10.5 Comparison to other tools

Several other tools have a similar goal to the Checker Framework: helping you to prevent or detect errors in your code.

Section 6 of the paper "Practical pluggable types for Java" [PAC⁺08] (http://people.csail.mit.edu/mernst/ pubs/pluggable-checkers-issta2008.pdf) compares the Checker Framework's nullness checker with those of @urefhttp://findbugs.sourceforge.net/, , FindBugs, @urefhttp://artho.com/jlint/, , JLint, and @urefhttp://pmd.sourceforge.net/, , PMD.

A pluggable type-checker such as those created by the Checker Framework differs from a bug detector in several ways:

• A type-checker aims to find *all* errors. Thus, it can verify the *absence* of errors: if the type checker says there are no null pointer errors in your code, then there are none. (This guarantee only holds for the code it checks, of course; see Section 2.7.)

A bug detector aims to find *some* errors. If it reports no null pointer errors, then there may still be some in your code.

- A type-checker requires you to annotate your code with type qualifiers, or to run an inference tool that does so for you. A bug detector often requires no annotations. This means that running a type-checker has a higher up-front cost.
- A type-checker can take advantage of annotations on generic type parameters, such as List<@NonNull String>, permitting it to be much more precise for code that uses generics.

10.6 Credits and changelog

The Checker Framework distribution was developed in the MIT Program Analysis Group, with prime contributions from Mahmood Ali, Telmo Correa, and Matthew M. Papi. Many users have provided valuable feedback, for which we are grateful.

Differences from previous versions of the checkers and framework can be found in the changelog-checkers.txt file. This file is included in the checkers distribution and is also available on the web at http://groups.csail.mit.edu/pag/jsr308/current/changelog-checkers.txt.

References

- [Cro06] Jose Cronembold. JSR 198: A standard extension API for Integrated Development Environments. http: //jcp.org/en/jsr/detail?id=198, May 8, 2006.
- [Dar06] Joe Darcy. JSR 269: Pluggable annotation processing API. http://jcp.org/en/jsr/detail?id=269, May 17, 2006. Public review version.
- [Ern08] Michael D. Ernst. Type annotations specification (jsr 308). http://pag.csail.mit.edu/jsr308/, September 12, 2008.
- [Eva96] David Evans. Static detection of dynamic memory errors. In PLDI 1996, Proceedings of the SIGPLAN '96 Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation, pages 44–53, Philadelphia, PA, USA, May 21–24, 1996.
- [FL03] Manuel Fähndrich and K. Rustan M. Leino. Declaring and checking non-null types in an object-oriented language. In Object-Oriented Programming Systems, Languages, and Applications (OOPSLA 2003), pages 302–312, Anaheim, CA, USA, November 6–8, 2003.
- [FLL⁺02] Cormac Flanagan, K. Rustan M. Leino, Mark Lillibridge, Greg Nelson, James B. Saxe, and Raymie Stata. Extended static checking for Java. In *PLDI 2002, Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 2002 Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation*, pages 234–245, Berlin, Germany, June 17–19, 2002.
- [LBR06] Gary T. Leavens, Albert L. Baker, and Clyde Ruby. Preliminary design of JML: A behavioral interface specification language for Java. ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes, 31(3), March 2006.
- [PAC⁺08] Matthew M. Papi, Mahmood Ali, Telmo Luis Correa Jr., Jeff H. Perkins, and Michael D. Ernst. Practical pluggable types for Java. In *ISSTA 2008, Proceedings of the 2008 International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis*, pages 201–212, Seattle, WA, USA, July 22–24, 2008.
- [QTE08] Jaime Quinonez, Matthew S. Tschantz, and Michael D. Ernst. Inference of reference immutability. In ECOOP 2008 — Object-Oriented Programming, 22nd European Conference, pages 616–641, Paphos, Cyprus, July 9–11, 2008.
- [TE05] Matthew S. Tschantz and Michael D. Ernst. Javari: Adding reference immutability to Java. In Object-Oriented Programming Systems, Languages, and Applications (OOPSLA 2005), pages 211–230, San Diego, CA, USA, October 18–20, 2005.

[ZPA⁺07] Yoav Zibin, Alex Potanin, Mahmood Ali, Shay Artzi, Adam Kieżun, and Michael D. Ernst. Object and reference immutability using Java generics. In ESEC/FSE 2007: Proceedings of the 11th European Software Engineering Conference and the 15th ACM SIGSOFT Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering, pages 75–84, Dubrovnik, Croatia, September 5–7, 2007.